



## **Findings January 11, 2006**

### **CPRC Case No. 05-009**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Improper Procedures** – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

### **CPRC Case No. 05-024**

This case concerns complaints of Excessive Use of Force.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Excessive Use of Force** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

### **CPRC Case No. 05-046**

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Misconduct / Improper Procedure** – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

### **CPRC Case No. 05-073**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy** – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
2. **Improper Procedure** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

**CPRC Case No. 05-084**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy** – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

**CPRC Case No. 05-090**

This case concerns complaints of Truthfulness.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Truthfulness** – The investigation produced insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

**CPRC Case No. 05-092**

This case concerns complaints of Illegal Search.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Improper Procedure (Illegal Search)** – This complaint was found to be an “Inquiry.”

**CPRC Case No. 05-099**

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy, Discrimination, and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. **Discourtesy** – The officer was found to have committed the alleged act, which was not within Department policy.
2. **Discrimination** – The alleged act did not occur.
3. **Misconduct / Improper Procedure** – The officer was found to have committed the alleged act, which was not within Department policy.